

Jorge – Sorry I have made a mistake.

Having checked I can confirm that Buckminster did in fact sell in 1913 a small part of THOR1 for use as a cemetery and car park. Land Registry plan of what was sold attached.

Pro rata this would reduce the capacity from the Focused Changes figure of 13 to 10. However this is a worst case as the issue about archaeological constraints on the site, if any, still has to be resolved. It will be MBC's decision but my view is that the capacity should be left at 13.

Andrew Russell-Wilks
Godfrey-Payton
25 High Street, Warwick, CV34 4BB
www.godfrey-payton.co.uk



Follow us on Twitter @GodfreyPayton

GODFREY-PAYTON CHARTERED SURVEYORS

DISCLAIMER - PLEASE NOTE

This communication is for the attention of the named recipient only,
and should not be passed on to any other person.

It is sent in good faith, confidence and without legal responsibility.

.....
Thanks for that Jorge.

There is some confusion here. Buckminster who are the owners of THOR1 own the land edged red coloured yellow in the screen shot below:



So they don't own the little square in the south west corner which is land used as a cemetery car park.

The attached aerial photos shows the situation on the ground.

So I am not quite sure you mean by 'another owner'.

If some else is claiming ownership to part of the field then perhaps you can put them in touch with me please.

We have pointed this error out to the Neighbourhood Plan group as the plans in the Neighbourhood Plan make the same mistake.

Andrew Russell-Wilks
Godfrey-Payton
25 High Street, Warwick, CV34 4BB
www.godfrey-payton.co.uk



Follow us on Twitter @GodfreyPayton

GODFREY-PAYTON CHARTERED SURVEYORS
DISCLAIMER - PLEASE NOTE

This communication is for the attention of the named recipient only,
and should not be passed on to any other person.
It is sent in good faith, confidence and without legal responsibility.

Dear Andrew,

I'm contacting you regarding the Melton Local Plan potential housing allocation THOR1.
We have been advised about the inclusion of other owner's land in the allocation as per the screenshot below.



This can be translated in a change of boundary of the allocation and a different capacity estimation.

Please can you confirm that you are happy with this and that the land shown in the screenshot is not part of the proposal?

Thank you,
Jorge

Jorge Fiz Alonso
GIS technician
Regulatory Services
Melton Borough Council