

APPENDIX 6.3: VISUAL EFFECTS SUMMARY TABLE

Viewpoint & Receptor Sensitivity	Comments	Development Phase	Magnitude of Effect	Significance of Effect
Viewpoint 1: From footpath F80/3, looking south west Receptors: PRoW (footpath F80/3) users: High	Construction and decommissioning activities (including the upper parts of construction vehicles and equipment) may be glimpsed above the hedgeline in the immediate view, however this would be for a very limited temporary period and viewed at a distance. There may also be a partial distant view of construction and decommissioning works within the most northerly field. The north east fields of the Proposed Development would not be visible beyond Easthorpe Lane from this location. Development within the most northerly field of the Site may be partially visible in the distance. By year 15 hedgerows up to 3m would obscure the view of development within the northern part of the Site. The view is in the direction of cumulative sites Land south of the Railway Line and east of station road (to the northwest) and is not visible in the baseline view, and Land east of Jericho Covert (to the southwest) which would not be visible in the view. There would be no cumulative effect.	Construction (temporary)	Low	Moderate Adverse Significant
		Operation Year 1	Low	Moderate Adverse Significant
		Operation Year 15	Negligible	Negligible Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
Viewpoint 2: From footpath F82/3, looking north west Receptors:	Construction activities (including movement of construction vehicles) and the completed Proposed Development within the north of the Site would be visible.	Construction (temporary)	High	Major Adverse Significant
		Operation Year 1	High	Major Adverse Significant

Viewpoint & Receptor Sensitivity	Comments	Development Phase	Magnitude of Effect	Significance of Effect
PRoW (footpath F82/3) users: High	<p>The panels would sit below the skyline. Allowing the existing hedgerows to grow up (maximum 3m) and enhancing with infill planting would provide some level of screening between the Proposed Development and the footpath. With the above measures in place the amount of the Proposed Development visible would be reduced at year 15 and during decommissioning, however the character of the views experienced would be changed from open and long ranging.</p> <p>The view is in the direction of cumulative sites Land south of the Railway Line and east of station road (to the northwest) and is not visible in the baseline view, and Lodge Farm (to the northwest) which is also not visible in the baseline view. There would be no cumulative effect.</p>	Operation Year 15	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
<p>Viewpoint 3: From byway F85b/4, looking south west</p> <p>Receptors: PRoW byway F85b/4 users: High</p>	<p>Construction activities (including movement of construction vehicles) and the completed Proposed Development within the Site would be visible between and above the existing low, gappy hedgerows. The Proposed Development would sit below the skyline which rises around Belvoir.</p> <p>Allowing the existing hedgerows along the eastern edge to grow up (maximum 3m) and enhancing with infill planting would provide some level of screening. The immediate field to the west will be screened by a belt of native tree planting by year 15.</p> <p>With the above measures in place the amount of the Proposed Development visible would be reduced at year 15 and during decommissioning.</p>	Construction (temporary)	Medium	Major Adverse Significant
		Operation Year 1	Medium	Major Adverse Significant
		Operation Year 15	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant

Viewpoint & Receptor Sensitivity	Comments	Development Phase	Magnitude of Effect	Significance of Effect
	The view is in the direction of cumulative site Land east of Jericho Covert (to the west) which would not be visible in the view due to intervening topography and vegetation. There would be no cumulative effect.			
Viewpoint 4: From byway F85b/2, looking north west Receptors: PRoW byway F85b/2 users: High	Construction activities (including movement of construction vehicles) and the completed Proposed Development within the immediate field would be clearly visible due to the proximity of the view. Allowing the existing hedgerows to grow up (maximum 3m) and enhancing with infill planting where needed would provide a good level of screening between the Proposed Development and the byway. Occasional trees would be in-keeping with the existing trees along the southern side of the byway. With the above measures in place the amount of the Proposed Development visible would be significantly reduced at year 15 and during decommissioning. The view is in the direction of cumulative site Land south of the A1 (to the northeast) and would not be visible in the view due to intervening topography and vegetation. There would be no cumulative effect.	Construction (temporary)	High	Major Adverse Significant
		Operation Year 1	High	Major Adverse Significant
		Operation Year 15	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
Viewpoint 5: From byway F85b/1, looking north east Receptors:	Construction activities (including movement of construction vehicles) and the completed Proposed Development within the southern fields of the Site would be visible.	Construction (temporary)	High	Major Adverse Significant
		Operation Year 1	High	Major Adverse Significant

Viewpoint & Receptor Sensitivity	Comments	Development Phase	Magnitude of Effect	Significance of Effect
PRoW (byway F85b/1) users: High	<p>An area of ecological enhancement will be located along this section of PRoW offsetting the Proposed Development from the byway. A hedgerow is proposed along the southern edge of the panels along the northern edge of the ecological area.</p> <p>With the above measures in place the amount of the Proposed Development visible would be significantly reduced at year 15 and during decommissioning.</p> <p>The view is in the direction of cumulative site Land south of the A1 (to the northeast) and would not be visible in the view due to intervening topography and vegetation. There would be no cumulative effect.</p>	Operation Year 15	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
<p>Viewpoint and Photomontage 6: From footpath Wool 18/3, Muston Bridge, looking west</p> <p>Receptors: PRoW (footpath 18/3) users: High</p>	<p>Construction activities (including movement of construction vehicles) and the completed Proposed Development would be perceptible against the skyline.</p> <p>Allowing the existing hedgerow along the south eastern edge (north of the byway) to grow up (maximum 3m) and enhancing with infill planting where needed would provide a good level of screening.</p> <p>With the above measures in place the amount of the Proposed Development visible would be significantly reduced at year 15 and during decommissioning.</p> <p>The view is in the direction of cumulative sites Land south of the Railway Line and east of station road (to the northwest) and is not visible in the baseline view, Land east of Jericho Covert (to the west) which would not be visible in the view, and Lodge</p>	Construction (temporary)	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Operation Year 1	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Operation Year 15	Low-neg	Moderate-negligible Adverse Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Low-neg	Moderate-negligible Adverse Not Significant

Viewpoint & Receptor Sensitivity	Comments	Development Phase	Magnitude of Effect	Significance of Effect
	Farm (to the northwest) and would not be visible in the view due to a combination of distance, intervening topography and vegetation. There would be no cumulative effect.			
Viewpoint 7: From Sedgebrook Road, looking north west Receptors: Road (Sedgebrook Road) users: Medium	The Proposed Development and associated construction and decommissioning works would not be visible within the view due to intervening landform. The view is in the direction of cumulative sites Land south of the Railway Line and east of station road (to the northwest) and is not visible in the baseline view, Land east of Jericho Covert (to the west) which would not be visible in the view, and Lodge Farm (to the northwest) and would not be visible in the view due to a combination of distance, intervening topography and vegetation. There would be no cumulative effect.	Construction (temporary)	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Operation Year 1	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Operation Year 15	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
Viewpoint 8: From footpath Wool 9/1, looking north west Receptors: PRoW (footpath Wool 9/1) users: High	The Proposed Development and associated construction and decommissioning works would be barely perceptible within the view due to intervening landform. Any glimpsed view would have a negligible effect from this distance. The view is in the direction of cumulative sites Land south of the Railway Line and east of station road (to the northwest) and is not visible in the baseline view, Land east of Jericho Covert (to the west) which would not be visible in the view, and Lodge Farm (to the northwest) and would not be visible in the view due to a combination of distance,	Construction (temporary)	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Operation Year 1	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Operation Year 15	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant

Viewpoint & Receptor Sensitivity	Comments	Development Phase	Magnitude of Effect	Significance of Effect
	intervening topography and vegetation. There would be no cumulative effect.			
Viewpoint and Photomontage 9: From the Jubilee Way, looking north Receptors: Jubilee Way users: High	There would be a view of construction works and the Proposed Development within view, however this would be at some distance (1.65km). The view would benefit in summer months by existing surrounding vegetation is in full leaf. The proposed development would sit low in the landscape (as shown within the Photomontage) and within a far-reaching view which has wind turbines and industrial features within it. The fore and mid ground vale landscape would remain unchanged within the view. By Year 15 and decommissioning the Proposed Development would be further screened within the view by maturing hedgerows and hedgerow trees. The view is in the direction of all cumulative sites however the existing sites are not visible and proposed sites would not be visible in the view due to a combination of distance, intervening topography and vegetation. There would be no cumulative effect.	Construction (temporary)	low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Operation Year 1	low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Operation Year 15	Low-neg	Moderate Adverse – negligible neutral Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Low-neg	Moderate Adverse – negligible neutral Not Significant
Viewpoint 10: From footpath G1/2, looking north east Receptors: PRoW (footpath G1/2) users: High	The Proposed Development and associated construction and decommissioning works would be barely perceptible within the view. Any partial, glimpsed view would have a negligible effect. The view is in the direction of cumulative sites Land south of the A1 (to the northeast) which would not be visible in the view, and Lodge Farm (to the north)	Construction (temporary)	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Operation Year 1	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Operation Year 15	Negligible	Negligible Neutral

Viewpoint & Receptor Sensitivity	Comments	Development Phase	Magnitude of Effect	Significance of Effect
	which is not visible in the baseline view due to intervening topography and vegetation. There would be no cumulative effect.			Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
Viewpoint 11: From footpath G2/3, looking north east Receptors: PRoW (footpath G2/3) users: High	There would be a partial glimpsed view of construction activities and the completed Proposed Development within the eastern fields of the Site. The view would benefit in summer months by existing vegetation in full leaf. Allowing the existing hedgerows to grow up (maximum 3m) would provide some level of screening between the Proposed Development and the edge of Muston. With the above measures in place the amount of the Proposed Development visible in the context of the wider view would be small at year 15 and during decommissioning. The view is in the direction of cumulative site Land south of the A1 (to the northeast) which would not be visible in the view due to intervening topography and vegetation. There would be no cumulative effect.	Construction (temporary)	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Operation Year 1	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Operation Year 15	Low-negligible	Moderate Adverse – negligible neutral Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Low-negligible	Moderate Adverse – negligible neutral Not Significant
Viewpoint 12: From footpath F74/1, looking south east Receptors:	There would be a partial view of construction activities and the completed Proposed Development within the western fields of the Site. Allowing the existing hedgerows to grow up (maximum 3m) would provide some level of	Construction (temporary)	Medium	Major Adverse Significant
		Operation Year 1	Medium	Major Adverse Significant

Viewpoint & Receptor Sensitivity	Comments	Development Phase	Magnitude of Effect	Significance of Effect
PRoW (footpath F74/1) users: High	<p>screening between the Proposed Development and the edge of Muston.</p> <p>With the above measures in place the amount of the Proposed Development visible in the context of the wider view would be small at year 15 and during decommissioning.</p> <p>The view is not in the direction of the four cumulative sites. Vegetation along Castle View Road and intervening topography and vegetation would prevent a succesional cumulative effect.</p>	Operation Year 15	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
<p>Viewpoint 13: From Barkestone Lane (off A52), looking east</p> <p>Receptors: Road (Barkestone Lane and A52) users: Medium</p>	<p>The Proposed Development and associated construction and decommissioning works would not be perceptible within the view.</p> <p>The view is not in the direction of the four cumulative sites. Vegetation along Barkestone Lane and intervening topography and vegetation would prevent a succesional cumulative effect.</p>	Construction (temporary)	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Operation Year 1	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Operation Year 15	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
<p>Viewpoint 14: From footpath F71/2, looking south east</p> <p>Receptors:</p>	<p>The Proposed Development and associated construction and decommissioning works would not be perceptible within the view due to intervening vegetation.</p>	Construction (temporary)	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Operation Year 1	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant

Viewpoint & Receptor Sensitivity	Comments	Development Phase	Magnitude of Effect	Significance of Effect
PRoW (footpath F71/2) users: high	The view is not in the direction of the four cumulative sites. Vegetation at the edge of Bottesford and intervening topography and vegetation would prevent a successional cumulative effect.	Operation Year 15	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Negligible	Negligible Neutral Not Significant
Viewpoint 15: From bridleway F86a/2, Beacon Hill, looking south east Receptors: PRoW(bridleway F86a/2) users: high	There would be a view of construction works and the Proposed Development within view. The view would benefit in summer months by existing vegetation in full leaf. By Year 15 and decommissioning the Proposed Development would be further screened within the view by maturing hedgerows and hedgerow trees. The view is in the direction of cumulative site Land east of Jericho Covert (to the southwest) which would not be visible in the view due to a combination of distance, intervening topography and vegetation. There would be no cumulative effect.	Construction (temporary)	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Operation Year 1	Low	Moderate Adverse Not Significant
		Operation Year 15	Low-negligible	Moderate Adverse – negligible neutral Not Significant
		Decommissioning (temporary)	Low-negligible	Moderate Adverse – negligible neutral Not Significant