

**FOCUSED CHANGES Responses that are not attached to a specific focused change or policy**

Representor Name	Focused Change/Policy	Summary of Representation	MBC Response
Rick Hoyland obo CPRE	Process	I have responded online to the Focused Changes consultation with difficulty and cannot recover a print out of our response, notwithstanding having received an acknowledgement of receipt. The process is seriously flawed (UNSOUND) disenfranchises the computer illiterate, those without access to a computer and lacks clarity for others. The 'citizenspace.com' is NOT fit for purpose	Many people have used this system and have not had this issue, and there was no requirement to submit representations this way. Representations were also accepted via email and hard copy. Hard Copies of the plan and supporting documents were available across the Borough, and handwritten representations were accepted, despite the fact that many Local Authorities, Businesses and Government Agencies now require actions to be completed online. Handwritten representations are dealt with by our admin staff at a cost, to ensure those who feel most comfortable handwriting representations were able to do so.
Mr Hoyland CPRE		Response process itself unsound, with difficulties accessing the on-line link. The alternatives for submission should have been made clearer. CitizenSpace is not transparent. Process unsound.	Adequate publicity was given in statutory notices, on-line and at events of the various ways representations could be submitted. 128 respondents did manage to use Citizen Space. It was adequate.
Mr Hoyland CPRE		The plan has changed substantially.	Only limited sections of the draft local plan were the subject of focused changes. The overall spatial strategy, plan objectives and the quantum of development proposed are unchanged.
Coal Authority	General	No Comments	Comments Noted
Theatres Trust	Rural services	Our comments relate to Section 5.11 Sustainable Communities and Draft Policy C7 – Rural Services. Comment that the policy does not fully reflect the supporting text or NPPF. Seek a change to the policy name 'Community and Cultural Facilities' or similar, to ensure it applies to the whole borough, and that references to 'village' are expanded to 'town or village'.	These sections of the plan were not the subject of this consultation, which only related to those parts of the plan affected by focused changes.
Blaby District Council	General	No further comments to make on the Focused Changes	Noted.
North West Leicestershire District Council (Ian Jordan)	General	No comments to make	Noted
Amec Foster Wheeler for National Grid	General	No comments to make	Noted
Hinckley and Bosworth Council	General	No comments to make	Noted
Oadby and Wigston Council	General	No comments to make	Noted
Nottinghamshire County Council	General	No comments at this stage of the process.	Noted
Debra Henson (obo Connolly Land and Developments)	General - Live/work units	Objection that there is no policy within the Plan to encourage live/work units	Live/work units were not the subject of focused changes, so this comment is not responded to here.

Focused Change Other Comments

David G. Adams	General	Concerned that previous comments will be disregarded. Original comments attached.	MBC responses to comments submitted at Pre Submission Draft stage have been published on <a href="http://www.meltonplan.co.uk">www.meltonplan.co.uk</a> . The duly made representations from that stage will all be submitted to the Planning Inspectorate, as will all the same from the Focused Changes consultation.
Laurence Holmes (obo NMLC) (ANON-7VBY-7HER-X)	General - Policies C8, C9, EC7	Reiterate comments made at Pre Submission Draft stage.	These policies were not the subject of focused changes.
Highways England	General	No further comments. Note the reduction of housing numbers for Bottesford.	Noted
Sport England	General	Thank you for consulting Sport England on the above. We can confirm that Sport England does not wish to raise any concerns with regards to the focused changes	Noted
Highways England	General	No further comments	Noted.
Mike and Elaine Maffei	General	Questionnaire is structured but too complicated, and may put off people from making comments, despite its importance. May not have filled it in right.	The criteria for the consultation are prescribed by Regulations.
Nick Farrow	General/NDP	More recognition and reflection of NDP needed across the local plan, especially site allocation and housing numbers. NDP result of significant work.	Alignment of the Local Plan and Neighbourhood Plans is likely to occur during Examination of the Melton Local Plan, by means of suggested modifications, if any neighbourhood plans have reached an advanced stage since Local Plan submission (post Inspector's report).
Paul Girdham	General	Long Clawson. Not taken any consideration to the wishes of the people who live there.	All representations received are given the same consideration, but the result of that consideration is not always to agree with what the representor is saying.
Colin Love (Professor)		Support the MLP objectives but feels direction it has been taken in has been shaped by misguided national government.	Noted.
South Kesteven District Council	General	We do not have any comments to make at this stage	Noted.