

## Melton Local Plan 2011-2036 Matters and Questions for the Examination

On behalf of JGP Properties Ltd

8<sup>th</sup> January 2018



## **Quality Control**

Job No	P&DG/13.034		
Title	Matters and Questions for the Examination		
Location	Melton Local Plan 2011-36		
Document Ref	Matters and Questions		
File reference	P&DG/13.034 MAQ		
Date	8 January 2018		
Prepared by	CI	Signature (for file)	Boxen
Checked by	DP	Signature (for file)	David Pede
Authorised by	RH	Signature (for file)	PHall



## 1.0 Introduction

- 1.1 This Matters and Questions Statement sets out P&DG's representations submitted on behalf of JGP Properties Ltd.
- 1.2 Separate Hearing Statements Representations are submitted concerning the following Matters and Questions:
  - Legal Requirements and the Duty to Cooperate (Hearing Statement 1);
  - Matter 2, Question 2.1, parts i and ii (Hearing Statement 2);
  - Matter 2, Question 2.2 (Hearing Statement 3);
  - Matter 3, Question 3.1 (Hearing Statement 4); and
  - Matter 5, Question 5.1; parts i, ii and iii.
- 1.3 These representations should be read in conjunction with our previous representations made to the Melton Local Plan 2011-2036, including the Pre Submission Draft (November 2016) and Addendum of Focussed Changes (July 2017). This is with particular reference to the settlement of Burton Lazars, and the site at New Road, appended to our Focused Changes representations ref 13.034 23-08-2017.



## 6.0 Hearing Statement 5

Matter 5, Question 5.1; Parts i, ii and iii.

'Overall, has the allocation of the sites in Policy C1(A) been based on a clear, robust process of site assessment and informed by sustainability appraisal? In particular:

- i) Has an appropriate selection of potential sites been assessed?
- ii) Has an appropriate methodology been used and has it been applied consistently?
- iii) Are the reasons for selecting the preferred sites and rejecting the others clear and sufficient? Would any inaccuracies in the assessments significantly undermine the overall conclusions?
- 6.1 Our concerns surrounding the application of the settlement hierarchy are echoed in relation to Policy C1(A). Surely the considerations of which settlement is / is not suitable places a direct bearing upon the sites that are / are not suitable. We have made these inconsistencies clear before. We do not consider that every settlement with a positive spatial relationship has been factored into the site assessment process informing Policy C1(A). As such we consider that the site at New Road, Burton Lazars should be considered for allocation in Policy C1(A) given the reasons we demonstrated before at the Focussed Changes stage that the site met other material considerations.